



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 09/893,998 Confirmation No. : 5656
Applicant : Franz CEMIC, *et al.*
Filed : June 29, 2001
TC/A.U. : 2872
Examiner : Arnel C. LAVARIAS

Docket No. : 2098L/49970
Customer No. : 23911

Title : ILLUMINATION DEVICE, AND COORDINATE MEASURING
INSTRUMENT HAVING AN ILLUMINATION DEVICE

Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION REQUESTING WITHDRAWAL OF FINALITY OF OFFICE
ACTION DATED OCTOBER 12, 2004

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests that the finality of the Office Action dated October 12, 2004 be withdrawn.

MPEP §706.07(a) provides that second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is not necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims.

In the present application, the Office Action of October 12, 2004 was made final even though new grounds of rejection were introduced. The new ground of rejection was not necessitated by Applicant's amendment of the claims in the Amendment of July 29, 2004.

In the February 2, 2004 Amendment, Applicant added two new claims (*i.e.*, claims 9 and 10) (see pages 8 and 9).

Application No. 09/893,998
Petition dated October 20, 2004
Responsive to Office Action dated October 12, 2004

In the subsequent Office Action dated April 29, 2004, the Examiner failed to provide any ground for the rejection of claims 9 and 10.

In the Reply of July 29, 2004, Applicant pointed out that the Examiner did not provide any reason for the rejection of claims 9 and 10 (see pages 9 and 11). No amendments to claims 9 and 10 were made.

In the final Office Action of October 12, 2004, the Examiner, for the first time, provided the reasons why claims 9 and 10 were rejected (see page 4). These reasons, however, had never been provided before and clearly constituted new grounds of rejection. And the new grounds of rejection were not necessitated by any claim amendments, because claims 9 and 10 were not amended in the Reply of July 29, 2004. As a result, the rejection of claims 9 and 10 was made final, before Applicant even had an opportunity to address specifically the reasons for the rejection.

Consequently, the Office Action of October 12, 2004 has been improperly made final.

Please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (CAM #011270.49970US).

Respectfully submitted,

October 20, 2004


Song Zhu, Ph.D.
Registration No. 44,420
Jeffrey D. Sanok
Registration No. 32,169

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844
JDS:SZ:tlm (011270.49970US; 343540)